

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/964,910	09/27/2001	Kiyoshi Yamaura	112857-301	3249	
29175 7590 11/10/2004			EXAMINER		
BELL, BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P. O. BOX 1135			YUAN, DAH WEI D		
CHICAGO, IL 60690-1135			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1745		

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/964,910 Examiner		YAMAURA ET AL.	
				Art Unit	
		Dah-We	ei D. Yuan	1745	
Period 1	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on t	the cover sheet with th	ne correspondence address	
- Ext afte - If th - If N - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC ensions of time may be available under the provisions of it SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication provided above is less than thirty (30) operiod for reply specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FATION.  F37 CFR 1.136(a). In no inication.  days, a reply within the si tory period will apply and ill by statute cause the a	event, however, may a reply b tatutory minimum of thirty (30) will expire SIX (6) MONTHS f	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communic	ation.
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 16 August 200	04		
		)⊠ This action is	_		
3)	Since this application is in condition fo			orosecution as to the morite	e ie
	closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte C	Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11.	453 O.G. 213.	5 15
Disposit	ion of Claims	•			
	Claim(s) <u>8,10-14 and 16-32</u> is/are pend	ding in the applica	ation		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>27-32</u> is/are				
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	withdrawn from CC	onsideration.		
	Claim(s) <u>8,10-14 and 16-26</u> is/are reject	ata d			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	ciea.			
8)					
,	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	in and/or election	requirement.		
	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the E				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 September 2</u>	<u>2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ :	accepted or b) obje	ected to by the Examiner	
	Applicant may not request that any objectio	on to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	e correction is requi	red if the drawing(s) is o	biected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	1(d)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO-152.	. (4).
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) 🖂 ,	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority un	udor 35 II C C   6 440/	a) (d) (n)	
a)[	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	loreign priority un	idei 33 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(a) or (t).	
,-	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority do	Cumente have bee	on received		
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc			Para NI	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	ho priority docume	en received in Applica	ition No	
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International</li> </ol>	Rureau (PCT Pol	ents nave been recen	ved in this National Stage	
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for				
	oo waa akaamaa aakamaa amaa aakam ka	or a list of the certi	liled copies not receiv	rea.	
ttachment					
Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	
Inform	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-tation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC	948) .	Paper No(s)/Mail [	Date	
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>08162004</u> .	JI30/U0)	6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Patent and Tra OL-326 (Re		N//:			
020 (176	··· · · · · · · · · · C	Office Action Summa	rv p	art of Paner No /Mail Date 11082	nn a

# GAS DIFFUSION ELECTRODE AND FUEL CELL INCLUDING SAME

Examiner: Yuan

S.N. 09/964,910

Art Unit: 1745

November 9, 2004

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 16, 2004 has been entered. Claims 8,14,21 were amended.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action on June 15, 2004.

#### Specification

3. The amendment filed on August 16, 2004 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: ... wherein the gas diffusion electrode comprises a thickness of less than 5  $\mu$ m" in claim 8; "... wherein at least one of the first electrode and the second electrode comprises a thickness of less than 5  $\mu$ m" in claims 14 and 21.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 5. Claims 8,10-14,16-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The recitations "...wherein the gas diffusion electrode comprises a thickness of less than 5 μm" in claim 8 and "...wherein at least one of the first electrode and the second electrode comprises a thickness of less than 5 μm" in claims 14 and 21 are not supported in the instant disclosure. The instant specification only discloses the fuel (oxygen) electrode of thickness ranging from about 2 to about 4 μm. If applicant believes said terms are fully defined, it is requested that applicant indicates column and line, and/or figure with number, in the specification. For the purpose of compact examination, the claims are interpreted as being directed to an electrode having a thickness of about 2 to less than 5 micrometers.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. Claims 8,10,14,16-18,20,21,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fleckner et al. (US 6,589,682 B1) as evidenced by Oyama et al. (US 2003/0048057 A1).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,910

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to claims 8,10, Fleckner et al. teach a fuel cell comprising two gas diffusion layers (100,102) (gas diffusion electrode), which comprises carbon nanotubes to distribute reactant gas over the catalyst sites. Fleckner et al. further teach the nanotubes can be processed by a variety of methods including vapor deposition techniques. One in-situ technique which can advantageously be used is chemical vapor deposition of various hydrocarbon compounds such as methane at controlled locations on a substrate using patterned catalytic islands. This combined synthesis and microfabrication technique allows a large number of ohmically contacted nanotube devices of controllable length to be placed on a single substrate. See Column 7, Lines 8-36; Column 9, Lines 32-46; Figures 6-8. It is well known that carbon nanotube is a fibrous carbon material as evidenced by Oyama et al. See Paragraph 4.

The disclosure of Fleckner et al. differs from Applicant's claims in that Fleckner et al. do not specifically disclose the thickness of the fibrous carbonaceous material. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to synthesize the nanotube for use as a gas diffusion electrode in a fuel cell to a thickness of about 2 to less than 5 micrometers, because Fleckner et al. teach the length of the nanotube is controllable by using the combined chemical vapor deposition and microfabrication technique.

With respect to claims 14,16, Fleckner et al. teach a fuel cell comprising a Nafion membrane (92) (a perfluorosulfonate ionomer) disposed between two gas diffusion electrodes (100,102). The gas diffusion electrodes further comprise carbon nanotubes. See Column 7, Lines 8-36; Figures 6-8.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,910

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to claims 17,18, Fleckner et al. teach the fuel cell further comprising a Pt/carbon ink by mixing 20 wt.% platinum on Vulcan XC-72R carbon with Nafion solution. See Column 8, Lines 39-58.

With respect to claim 20, the fuel is supplied through conduits (41) to the gas diffusion electrode (56) on the fuel side of the fuel cell whereas oxygen is supplied through conduits (43) to the gas diffusion electrode (54) on the oxidant side of the fuel cell. See Figure 2, Column 5, Lines 40-67.

With respect to claim 21, Fleckner et al. teach a fuel cell comprising a Nafion membrane (92) (a perfluorosulfonate ionomer) and two gas diffusion electrodes (100,102). The gas diffusion electrodes further comprise carbon nanotubes. See Column 7, Lines 8-36; Figures 6-8.

With respect to claim 26, Fleckner et al. teach the fuel cell further comprising a Pt/carbon ink by mixing 20 wt.% platinum on Vulcan XC-72R carbon with Nafion solution. See Column 8, Lines 39-58.

7. Claims 8,11,14,16,21,23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hager et al. (US 6,013,371) in view of Fischer et al. (US 5,861,222) as evidenced by Kordesch et al. (Fuel Cells and Their Applications, VCH Publishers, Inc.)

With respect to claims 8,11, Hager et al. teach the use of vapor grown carbon fiber (VGCF) to fabricate separators and electrodes (gas diffusion electrodes) in a fuel cell. See Column 3, Line 62 to Column 4, Line 5; Column 9, Line 56 to Column 10, Line 6; Column 12, Lines 11-16.

However, Hager et al. do not teach the thickness of the gas diffusion electrode for use in a fuel cell. Fischer et al. teach the optimum thickness of the gas diffusion electrode is in a range of 5 to 100  $\mu$ m. See Column 4, Lines 51-51; Column 5, Lines 56-60. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the vapor grown carbon fiber of Hager as the gas diffusion electrode having a thickness of 5 to 100  $\mu$ m, because Fischer et al. teach the optimum thickness of the gas diffusion layer in a fuel cell is in a range of 5 to 100  $\mu$ m. When a composition with a touching or overlapping range is found in the prior art, this is considered sufficient to support a holding of obviousness. In re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549.

With respect to claims 14,16,21,23, Hager et al. teach the electrodes in a fuel cell can be made of vapor grown carbon fiber (VGCF) material. The VGCF material from Applied Sciences, Inc of Cedarville, OH is a discontinuous highly graphitic fiber with an unique annular morphology. The fuel cell inherently comprises proton conducting material (electrolyte) sandwiched between an anode and a cathode as evidenced by Kordesch et al. See pages 51-53. A reference which is silent about a claimed invention's features is inherently anticipatory if the missing feature is necessarily present in that which is described in the reference. In re

8. Claims 12,13,19,22,24,25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fleckner et al. as applied to claims 8,10,14,16-18,20,21,26 above, and further in view of Hager et al. (US 6,013,371).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,910

Art Unit: 1745

With respect to claim 12, Fleckner et al. disclose gas diffusion electrodes comprising carbon nanotube material as described above in Paragraph 6. However, Fleckner et al. do not teach the fibrous carbonaceous material comprising a mixture of carbon nanotubes and vapor grown carbon fibers. Hager et al. teach the addition of vapor grown carbon fibers can enhance the mechanical performance of the resulting carbon—carbon composite. See Column 3, Line 62 to Column 4, Line 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use of the mixture of carbon nanotube and vapor grown carbon fibers on the gas diffusion electrode of Fleckner et al., because Hager et al. teach the addition of VGCF can improve mechanical performance of the components.

With respect to claim 22, Fleckner et al. disclose a fuel cell comprising a proton conductor disposed between a first electrode and a second electrode wherein both electrodes comprise a carbon nanotube material as described above in paragraph 9. However, Fleckner et al. do not teach the fibrous carbonaceous material comprising a mixture of carbon nanotubes and vapor grown carbon fibers. Hager et al. teach the addition of vapor grown carbon fibers can enhance the mechanical performance of resulting carbon—carbon composite. See Column 3, Line 62 to Column 4, Line 5. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use of the mixture of carbon nanotube and vapor grown carbon fibers on the gas diffusion electrode of Fleckner et al., because Hager et al. teach the addition of VGCF can improve mechanical performance of the components.

With respect to claims 13,19,24,25, Fleckner et al. and Hager et al. disclose applicant's invention essentially as claimed, with the exception that the ratio between the carbon nanotube

and the vapor grown carbon fibers in the mixture is not discussed. However, Hager et al. recognize the incorporation of vapor grown carbon fibers into the composite can provide a reinforcing effect on the mechanical property of the material. See Column 3, Lines 8-12; Column 3, Line 62 to Column 4, Line 5. Therefore, it would have been within the skill of the ordinary artisan to adjust the relative amounts of carbon nanotube and vapor grown carbon fiber in the composite depending on the strength requirement of the composite electrode in the fuel cell. Discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within skill of art. In re Boesch, CCPA 1980, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ215.

#### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed on August 16, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principle arguments are

- (a) The claims as originally filed recited that the thickness is no greater than 5 µm;
- (b) Fleckner fails to disclose or suggest that the gas diffusion electrode can be directly formed on the proton conductor material;

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

(a) The specification, while being enabling for a fuel electrode (or an oxygen electrode) of a thin thickness ranging from about 2 to about 4  $\mu m$ , does not reasonably provide enablement for a thickness from zero to about 2 and from about 4 to no great than 5  $\mu m$ . The specification

does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with those claims;

(b) Applicant is reminded that the limitation in the instant specification cannot read into the claim. The claimed novelty is not stated in the independent claims, and Fleckner reference meets all the limitations of the claims as described above.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dhie /

Dah-Wei D. Yuan November 9, 2004